

# Conditional Multiple Differential Attack on MiFare Classic

or How to Steal Train Passes and Break into Buildings Worldwide...

Nicolas T. Courtois University College London, UK





# MiFare Classic Crypto-1

Stream cipher used in about 200 million RFID

oystel

chips worldwide.

- Ticketing

   (e.g. London's
   Underground).
- Access to high-security buildings











## Reverse-Engineering [Nohl et al.]





## Crypto-1 Algo + Auth. Protocol







 $f_a^4 = 0x9E98 = (a+b)(c+1)(a+d)+(b+1)c+a$  $f_b^4 = 0xB48E = (a+c)(a+b+d)+(a+b)cd+b$  Tag IV 

Serial is loaded first, then Reader IV 

NFSR



## **Key Recovery**

with consecutive say 96 bits of keystream:

0.05 seconds. [de Koning Gans et al, Esorics 2008]





These known attacks are NOT really practical.

They require access to either

- a legitimate card reader [that must know the key]
- or transcripts of recorded transactions

Hard to get in practice.

7











## Card-Only Attacks

#### The real security question is:

Can I break it, when I am sitting near the cardholder for a few minutes in the underground (contactless card queries).





#### Yes, we can!

8





Danger is 24h/24:

Anybody that is sitting/standing next to you can steal your identity (or at least enter some very nice building himself...)











# A Bug in MiFare Classic

Now known as parity attack.

1) the card does encrypt data with redundancy.

#### => never do that...

Cf. [Biham-Barkan-Keller: Instant Ciphertext-Only Cryptanalysis of GSM.. Crypto'03 and JoC'08]





### 2) There is Worse...

#### 2)

sometimes it replies to a patient attacker with a mysterious 4 bits cryptogram...

But only sometimes. Maybe nobody would notice...

#### A bug or a backdoor?





### **Data Acquisition**

Need low-level access.

### These two boards + software work and are widely available:





# **Open PCD**







# TI TRF7960 EVM







# But How to Exploit This Property?

Recover the key from this scarce information???

New Nijmegen Attacks [Oakland, May 2009].

Require either

- 28500 queries to the card, or
- 4000 queries + brute-force like pre-computation + massive storage.

My attack [will be presented at SECRYPT 2009]:

- few hundred queries
- zero pre-computation
- instant running time





# My Previous Attack [eprint]

A conditional <u>multiple</u> differential attack.

I exhibit a set of differentials that

 hold <u>simultaneously</u> for 256 different encryptions with overall probability of about 1/8.4 00000001 8DC1B21F6E10 00000002 1B83643EDC20 00000004 3706C87DB840

- That 's a VERY high probability!
  - Source: bad bad bad Boolean functions.....

See [an archived version of]: eprint.iacr.org/2009/137.





# My New Attack

More dense and better.

Manipulate parity bits,

not the actual data.

We only need a set of differentials that

- hold <u>simultaneously</u> for 16 different encryptions
- overall probability of about 1/1.5

00000001 8DC1B21F6E10 00000002 1B83643EDC20 00000004 3706C87DB840





# **Detailed Attack**

- Fix the card nonce
- Fix the 8-byte cryptogram
- Modify 8 parity bits at random until the card replies with 4-bit encrypted NACK.
  - This requires 128 queries on average.
- Now keep first 4 or more parity bits constant.
- Change the last 4 bits in the 3<sup>rd</sup> byte of the spoof cryptogram. And the last 4 parity bits too.
  - Until the card replies again.
- With probability about 1/(2\*1.5) we get 16 encryptions with the same keystream.
  - The keystream is guessed and recovered in the attack.
- The key is then found instantly (<1 s).

